

Agenda 16 State Conference Call
May 11, 2001

Special Topics:

Review of 16 State Workshop, National Conference-Olinda

National Statistics Conference-reminder–Wednesday: Corrigan report on IOM, then Decision Support meeting, then 50th Anniversary session, then reception
Thu starts with MHSIP session, then session on new grants, new info technology with people from technology field, then feedback session. Friday will end with HIPAA session. RUG meeting will be held Thu after last session. Caucus of consumers with Bernie Arons will be arranged.

Final Report Workgroup-Ted Lutterman–conference call held last week; group will meet face-to-face after pre-conference workshop to draft an outline of final report to go out to 16 states for review and comment.

New Data Infrastructure Grants–paper version distributed, as well as electronic version; will have meeting next Friday, conference calls before conference, and perhaps a meeting at the conference. SA may ask for money in 2002 and 2003. App must be at CMHS June 19.

Update on Website Data Presentation–Olinda, Sudha, John and Judy met to discuss input re: changing data. Judy has sent info on list-serv–she needs info from DC, but otherwise complete, with more info as states requested. States need to comment, because data will be posted publicly on the MHSIP website by May 29. Judy will add scoring algorithm, including how missing data was handled. John is distributing data for states' review. Sudha will have her data ready by early next week to distribute for final review on list-serv.

Update Workshop plans for Diagnosis changes-Bernadette Phelan–it is unclear whether states are compiling the new categories in such a way that they can be added to get to the old categories (including compiling separately some newly added codes, so they can be excluded in summaries for past years).

Molly Brunk has data from 5 states and hopes to have a meeting at NMHSC to discuss results. She wants to arrange with OK to put data on website. School attendance items have had a category added to identify people with fewer absences, which has been the experience of some states. Such info may come from the survey or clinician interviews. MB recommends this indicator be considered developmental at this point, since they are proxy measures for more direct measures. Texas has had problems with reliability of self-report responses from teenagers, but it is very difficult to get data from

the many independent school districts in TX. Some states may have programs that ensure kids attend school, so this indicator is not as sensitive or useful. More discussion will be held during committee meeting at conference to allow more input before final release. States need to review info MB has submitted to list-serv before meeting.

Workgroup Reports:

A1 Penetration Rates (John Pandiani)—John expects to have data in two weeks to compile for the national conference. See his email from May 7.

A2 Q1 Q4 O1 Consumer Survey (Judy Hall)—No data from two states, but should have by end of grant. No timeline yet, but will have at least 12 states for final report with data from 98, 99, 2000, with data from MHSIP survey.

Q3 Contact within 7 Days Following Hospital Discharge (Steve Davis)—we have data from 5 states, 3 have said they are not able to report, 5 have promised something by this summer, and 3 have not reported.

11 Readmission within 30/180 Days -State Hospital (Sudha Mehta)—she has data from 12 states for 2000 and expects to get the other 4 soon

S3 Cost (Nancy Callahan)—she has data from 7 states and hopes to get data from 5 others by the end of the summer

Q2 Consumers Linked to Primary Health Services (Deb Kupfer)—she hopes to get 2000 data, since the indicator was not defined till several states had defined their data collection; she expects to have data from 2-3 states with the recommended questions

Q10 Children's Surveys (Workgroup member)

O3 Employment (Denny Geertsen)—committee members have submitted definitions for 5 variables, Denny has compiled and plans a meeting. Five committee states can collect data at time 1, but follow-up data is questionable. Two states (OK has data, AZ getting agreement) are getting data matched with Employment Security office. OK will send their data to DG early next week.

O9 Mortality (Craig Colton)—4 states cannot submit, 2 are exploring, 9 are in various stages of compiling data to submit, and OK has submitted data. He expects to have data from 10 states in the next few weeks.

O12 Living Situation (Nancy Callahan)—plans one more conference call to finalize data elements, then will be going to states to see who can collect

O13 Involvement in the Criminal Justice System (Lucille Schacht)—she has data from 2 states and expects data from 2 more states re: involvement in the prison system—that is

the only consistent indicator

Q5 Adults Receiving Assertive Community Treatment

Q6 Adults in Supported Employment (John McGrew)—ACT is pilot run on 87 sites in 6 states and has data from 50 sites to do checklist matched with a fidelity scale; checklist is taking 20 mins and is correlating well with scale. For SE only has 19 of 75 sites reporting, but expects 20 more from Illinois; checklist takes 30 mins. He needs more data before being able to do a complete analysis.

Q7 Adults in Supported Housing (Nancy Callahan)

O4 Level of Functioning—no update since last month

O5 Symptom Relief (Mary Smith)

O10 Recovery/Hope/Personhood (Vijay Ganju)—states have been proceeding with focus groups and have gotten transcription done. Vijay will check with states in the next few days. Steve Onken says 10 groups in 9 states have been completed. The consumer research workgroup has been leading the effort with support from HSRI, NTAC, etc.

O11 Reduced Substance Abuse (Jack Wackwitz)—no update since last month

S1 Consumer/Family Member Involvement in Policy, Quality Assurance, and Planning (Donna Stimpson)—no calls since last month; major findings to be reported at pre-conference workshop

Q8 Adults receiving new generation atypicals/medications (Joslyn Letourneau)—data from several states, but some do not have diagnosis, expect 2 states to report by June and 4 more in July. Some are reporting hospital data and others community.

Q12 Seclusion

Q13 Restraint

Q14 Medication Errors

O6 Consumer Injuries

O7 Elopement (Bernadette Phalen)

Final draft of Mental Health, US, 2000 article has been reviewed by Olinda. It will be the 17th chapter in the upcoming report.

SD raised issue of submitting less than one year's data where states have not been able to report. DG agreed that having some data would be better than none. JP noted that penetration rate and some others would not be appropriate for less than one year of data, but for others it would be appropriate. Olinda will work with DG to review indicators and recommend which would be appropriate to report in less than one year time increments.

Plan for time of next meeting—2PM EST June 21, 2001, and at NMHSC.