

State Indicator Pilot Grants

CONNECTICUT

A Joint Effort of the Survey and Analysis and State Planning and Development Branches, the Center for Mental Health Services, operated through the MHSIP Program

Co-Principal Investigator

Wayne F. Dailey, Ph.D.
Director of Programs and Services
Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services
410 Capitol, MS#14COM
PO Box 341431
Hartford, CT 06134
Phone: (860) 418-6899
Fax: (860) 418-6691
wayne.dailey@po.state.ct.us

Co-Principal Investigator

Tom Kirk, Ph.D.
Deputy Commissioner
Department of Mental Health and Addictions
Services
410 Capitol, MS#14COM
PO Box 341431
Hartford, CT 06134
Phone: (860) 418-6958
Fax: (860) 418-6691
lori.shortt@po.state.ct.us

State Planner/Interim Project Manager

Eva Vavrousek-Jakuba, Sc.D.
Associate Research Analyst
Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction
Services
410 Capitol Avenue, MS#14QAI
PO Box 341431
Hartford CT 06134
Phone: (860) 418-6857
Fax: (860) 418-6896
Eva.Jakuba@po.state.ct.us

GRANT NUMBER: 1 HR1 SM52511-01

FUNDING PERIOD: September 30, 1998 - August 31, 2001

TOTAL ALLOCATION FOR FY' 98: \$98,293

PROJECT SUMMARY

The Connecticut Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services will pilot and refine performance and outcome indicators selected in the Five State Feasibility Assessment Project as well as develop additional indicators relevant to the State that reflect informational needs of a broad array of stakeholders. Stakeholder participants will include consumers, family members, behavioral health service providers, the State Planning Council, legislators, and the public. Project tasks will include: (1) assessing of indicators in the current DMHAS system; (2) achieving consensus by stakeholders regarding additional indicators (other than the Feasibility project indicators) needed in the state, (3) involving key stakeholders in selecting measurement instruments, refining definitions, developing meaningful state-specific indicators, and in designing a process for the use of information that reflects the concerns of consumers and families, (4) considering cultural competence in the context of indicator selection, (5) and completing of pilots before preparing to report statewide.